

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/845,296	IWATA, TETSU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774	

All Participants:

(1) Pamela R. Schwartz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Ms. Dudek.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 August 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

potential rejection under 35 USC 112

Claims discussed:

8, 10, 11

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed amending claim 8 to make language concerning the outermost layer definite. Discussed how withdrawn claims would be handled. See the Examiner's Amendment and reasons for allowance.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)